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#### Abstract

The new compounds $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ have been synthesized by treating the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{VCl}_{2}\right]_{3},\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$, and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{CoCl}\right]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$. X-ray crystallography shows that $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ have the same ligand sets but different molecular structures: the vanadium compound contains two bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligands (i.e., bound to the metal center via two vicinal hydrogen atoms), whereas the chromium compound has one bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand and one $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand bound in an unprecedented fashion via two geminal hydrogen atoms. The "gem-bound" $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group itself has an atypical structure consisting of a $\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ triangle with one additional hydrogen atom bridging the unique $\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ edge. The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ distances are nearly identical within experimental error at $1.790(5), 1.792(5)$, and $1.786(6) \AA$. The relationship between the electronic and molecular structures of the V and Cr compounds is briefly discussed. The structure of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ can be viewed in two different ways: as a dicobalt complex in which two $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Co}$ units are each bound to four adjacent boron atoms of an S -shaped $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ligand, or as an eight-vertex hypho cluster compound. In the former case, the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ligand is best regarded as a dianionic bi-borallyl group $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(\mu-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{BH}(\mu-\mathrm{H})$ -$\mathrm{BHBH}(\mu-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{BH}(\mu-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{BH}_{3}$ in which one hydrogen at each end of the chain is involved in an agostic interaction. From a cluster point of view, the structure of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ can be generated by removing three adjacent high-connectivity vertices from the eleven-vertex closo polyhedron. The Co-B distances vary from $2.008(5)$ to $2.183(4) \AA$, and the $B-B$ distances within in the S-shaped chain range from 1.734(8) to $1.889(6) \AA$. Finally, a new synthesis of the known molybdenum compound $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)$ is described; its structure as established by X-ray crystallography closely resembles that of the previously described $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ analogue.


## Introduction

Transition metal diborides such as $\mathrm{TiB}_{2}, \mathrm{ZrB}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{HfB}_{2}$ have outstanding properties for microelectronic, coating, and other applications: their melting points exceed $3000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, their bulk resistivities are near $15 \mu \Omega \cdot \mathrm{~cm},{ }^{1}$ their bulk hardness approaches $30 \mathrm{GPa},{ }^{1}$ and they have excellent corrosion resistance. ${ }^{2}$ These metallic ceramics perform well as copper diffusion barriers for microelectronics ${ }^{3-7}$ but are not currently used for this purpose, in part because suitable low-temperature, halide-free deposition processes were unavailable until very recently. We and others, however, have demonstrated that transition metal tetrahydroborate complexes, $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{x}$, are highly effective single-source precursors for the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of metal

[^0]diboride thin films. ${ }^{3,4,8}$ These $\mathrm{BH}_{4}$ precursors are attractive because they contain the requisite elemental components and are free of heteroatoms that could contaminate the films.

Unfortunately, among the d-block transition metals, only Ti, ${ }^{9}$ $\mathrm{Zr},{ }^{10}$ and $\mathrm{Hf}{ }^{10}$ form volatile $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{x}$ complexes in which all the ligands are $\mathrm{BH}_{4}$ groups. Volatile $\mathrm{MB}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}$ complexes of other elements should be obtainable by using sterically more demanding boron hydride ligands, and to this end, we have recently begun to investigate the chemistry of the largely unexplored octahydrotriborate anion, $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}$. We have reported the synthesis and characterization of the remarkable chromium(II) complex $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}{ }^{11}$ and have shown that this compound is highly volatile and is an excellent (in fact, the first) single-source precursor to very high quality $\mathrm{CrB}_{2}$ thin films at remarkably low temperatures $\left(200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{12}$

[^1]Table 1. Crystallographic Data for $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1}), \mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{2})$, and $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)(\mathbf{3})$

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{VB}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{31}$ | $\mathrm{CrB}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{31}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{44}$ | $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{39}$ |
| formula weight | 267.15 | 268.22 | 467.27 | 525.44 |
| $T,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -80 | -80 | -80 | -80 |
| space group | C2/m | Pbcn | $P 42_{2} 2$ | $P \overline{1}$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 7.745(3) | 15.517(3) | 8.7060(10) | 8.998(2) |
| $b, \AA$ | 16.065(6) | 15.489(3) | 8.7060(10) | 11.701(3) |
| $c, \AA$ | 7.513(3) | 14.462(3) | 32.496(7) | 11.971(3) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 90 | 90 | 90 | 109.562(4) |
| $\beta$, deg | 116.825(5) | 90 | 90 | 91.892(4) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 90 | 90 | 90 | 92.495(4) |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 834.1(6) | 3475.7(13) | 2463.0(7) | 1185.0(5) |
| Z | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | 1.064 | 1.025 | 1.260 | 1.473 |
| $\lambda, \AA$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| $\mu_{\text {calcd }}$, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 5.69 | 6.34 | 13.51 | 1.061 |
| transmission coeff | N/A | 0.936-0.799 | 0.974-0.753 | 0.896-0.833 |
| $R_{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{a}$ | 0.0700 | 0.0351 | 0.0358 | 0.0357 |
| $R_{\text {wF2 }}{ }^{b}$ | 0.0993 | 0.0693 | 0.0661 | 0.0652 |

${ }^{a} R_{\mathrm{F}}=\sum| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \sum\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ for reflections with $F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right) .{ }^{b} R_{\mathrm{wF} 2}=\left[\sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ for all reflections.

We now describe our efforts to synthesize and characterize new $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ complexes that also bear cyclopentadienyl ligands. Specifically, we report the reactions of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl complexes $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{VCl}_{2}\right]_{3},\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2},[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CoCl}]_{2}$, and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ and the characterization of the respective products. One of the new molecules, $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$, exhibits an unprecedented bonding mode for the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand in which the anion is bound to the metal via two geminal hydrogen atoms. We also describe the formation of the dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$, which can be considered either as a "bi-borallyl" complex or as an eight-vertex hypho cluster.

## Results

Synthesis and Characterization of $\mathbf{C p} * V\left(B_{3} H_{8}\right)_{2}$. Treatment of the vanadium(III) "half-sandwich" starting material $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{VCl}_{2}\right]_{3}$ with excess $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ in diethyl ether, followed by pentane extraction and crystallization at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affords light green crystals of the new vanadium(III) complex $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ (1)
${ }^{1} / 3\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{VCl}_{2}\right]_{3}+2 \mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}+2 \mathrm{NaCl}$
The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ features strong bands at 2517 and 2467 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ due to terminal $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ stretches, and strong bands at 2131, 2082, and $2044 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to bridging $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ stretches. The pattern of bands is similar to that seen for bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligands in other complexes. ${ }^{11,13}$ The magnetic moment of $2.7 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ in benzene measured by Evans' method indicates the presence of two unpaired electrons per vanadium center, as expected for the $V^{\text {III }}$ oxidation state.

The molecular structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is shown in Figure 1; crystal data and important bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 1 and 2 . We point out here that the crystal exhibits an unusual form of disorder, but despite this aspect the molecular structure is clearly defined (see Experimental Section for details). The vanadium center is coordinated to two bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups and to one $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ligand; the overall geometry is that of a fourlegged piano stool. The $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligands adopt their usual structure: an isosceles triangle in which two of the edges are bridged by a hydrogen atom. The B-B distances are 1.755(11) $\AA$ for the nonbridged B-B bond and 1.837(18) and 1.870(16) $\AA$ for

[^2]

Figure 1. (a) Molecular structure of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$, 1. Ellipsoids are drawn at the $35 \%$ probability level, except for hydrogen atoms, which are represented as arbitrarily sized spheres. Methyl hydrogen atoms in the Cp * group have been deleted for clarity. (b) Top view of $\mathbf{1}$.
the two bridged $B-B$ bonds. Each of the three boron atoms bears two terminal hydrogen atoms, one above the $B_{3}$ plane and one below. Both $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups coordinate to the V center in the same way: by means of one terminal hydrogen atom on each of the two boron atoms at the ends of the nonbridged $B-B$ bond. The average $\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{H}$ distance is $2.14(6) \AA$, and the average $V \cdots B$ distance is $2.580(8) \AA$. The $B_{3}$ plane of the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand and the $\mathrm{VB}_{2}$ plane formed with the two boron atoms bound to vanadium form a dihedral angle of $119.7(5)^{\circ}$, which is similar to the dihedral angles observed in other metal $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ complexes. ${ }^{14}$ For purposes of later discussion, we point out here that, for each $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand, the $\mathrm{Cp} *$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ units are cis to one another relative to the $\mathrm{VB}_{2}$ plane.

[^3]Table 2. Important Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg) for Cp V $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}(1)^{a}$

|  | Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{A}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $2.572(7)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $1.14(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | $2.587(7)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $1.09(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)^{\prime}$ | $2.19(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $1.06(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $2.08(5)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $1.35(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | $1.755(11)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $1.36(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $1.870(16)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $1.10(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $1.837(18)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $1.07(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $1.11(4)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | $39.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}(12)^{\prime}$ | $134(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime \prime}$ | $80.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $103(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $93.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $46(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $60.8(7)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | $56.5(5)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $35(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | $70.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $79(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $105.3(7)$ | $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $127(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)^{\prime}$ | $25.3(14)$ | $\mathrm{H}(21)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $124(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $25.9(14)$ | $\mathrm{H}(14)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $121(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $115(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $120(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}(11)^{\prime}$ | $57(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $119(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $53(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $108(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}(12)^{\prime}$ | $120(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $112(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $99(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(13)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $102(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $125(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(14)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $99(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $140(2)$ | $\mathrm{H}(13)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $99(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $119(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(14)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $104(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $110(3)$ | $\mathrm{H}(21)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $123(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}(11)^{\prime}$ | $119(2)$ |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: ' $=1$ $-x, y,-z ;{ }^{\prime \prime}=x,-y, z ;{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}=1-x,-y,-z$.

Synthesis and Characterization of $\mathbf{C p} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$. Treatment of the chromium(III) half-sandwich starting material [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ in diethyl ether, followed by pentane extraction and crystallization at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affords dark green needles of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ (2).
$1 / 2\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}+2 \mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}+2 \mathrm{NaCl}$
The IR spectrum of 2 features strong bands at 2482, 2456, and $2418 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to terminal B-H stretches, and strong bands at $2094 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to bridging $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ stretches. The magnetic moment of $4.1 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ in solution indicates the presence of three unpaired electrons per chromium center, as expected for $\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}$. The molecular structure of $\mathbf{2}$ is illustrated in Figure 2, and crystal data and important bond distances and angles for $\mathbf{2}$ are given in Tables 1 and 3. Unlike the vanadium center in 1, the chromium center in 2 is coordinated to two different $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups. One of the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups is bound in the typical bidentate fashion as seen above for the vanadium complex. $\mathrm{The} \mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{Cr} \cdots \mathrm{B}$ distances for this ligand average $1.89(2)$ and $2.40-$ (1) $\AA$, respectively, and are similar to those of 1.87 and 2.423 $\AA$ in homoleptic $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2} .{ }^{11}$ The dihedral angle between the $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ plane and the $\mathrm{CrB}_{2}$ plane is $126.7(2)^{\circ}$. Unlike 1, however, the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ units of the bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand are trans to one another relative to the $\mathrm{CrB}_{2}$ plane. In other words, the boron atom not bound to the metal center is distal to the Cp * ligand in the chromium compound but proximal to the $\mathrm{Cp} *$ ligand in the vanadium compound. In both cases, the geometry adopted probably minimizes the steric repulsions between the ligands.

Surprisingly, the second $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group in 2 is bound in an unprecedented fashion. This ligand coordinates to the chromium center by means of two geminal hydrogen bridges from the same


Figure 2. (a) Molecular structure of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$, 2. Ellipsoids are drawn at the $35 \%$ probability level, except for hydrogen atoms, which are represented as arbitrarily sized spheres. Methyl hydrogen atoms in the Cp* group have been deleted for clarity. (b) Top view of 2.
Table 3. Important Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ (2)

|  | Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $1.90(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $1.06(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $1.870(19)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | $1.07(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{H}(41)$ | $1.99(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $1.28(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $2.17(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | $1.41(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $2.392(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(31)$ | $1.13(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $2.409(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | $1.11(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $2.347(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(41)$ | $1.12(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $1.758(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $1.13(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $1.828(5)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ | $1.13(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $1.840(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ | $1.61(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $1.790(5)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(51)$ | $1.08(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.792(5)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(52)$ | $1.15(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $1.786(6)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(56)$ | $1.26(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $1.16(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{H}(56)$ | $1.09(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $1.04(2)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{H}(61)$ | $1.12(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $1.09(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{H}(62)$ | $1.07(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $1.188(18)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Bond} \mathrm{Angles}(\mathrm{deg})$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $104.40(13)$ | $\mathrm{H}(31)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(32)$ | $118.3(18)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $103.27(13)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(6)$ | $59.8(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $42.95(10)$ | $\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $60.1(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{H}(21)$ | $95.5(9)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $60.0(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(41)-\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $55.3(8)$ | $\mathrm{H}(41)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $118.8(16)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $61.72(18)$ | $\mathrm{H}(41)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ | $107.5(19)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $61.02(18)$ | $\mathrm{H}(42)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ | $103.1(18)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $57.25(17)$ | $\mathrm{H}(51)-\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(52)$ | $116.0(18)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $114.0(17)$ | $\mathrm{H}(61)-\mathrm{B}(6)-\mathrm{H}(62)$ | $121(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(21)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22)$ | $111.7(16)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

boron center. These hydrogen atoms, $\mathrm{H}(41)$ and $\mathrm{H}(42)$, form $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{H}$ contacts of $1.99(2)$ and 2.17(2) $\AA$. Interestingly, the unusual binding mode is reflected in significant changes in the overall geometry of the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group. The structure seen for the free $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}$anion and for essentially all of its coordination
complexes (including compound $\mathbf{1}$ ) is a $\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ triangle in which additional hydrogen atoms bridge two edges. For the "gem-bound" $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group in $\mathbf{2}$, the structure is a $\mathrm{BH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ triangle with one additional hydrogen atom bridging the unique $\mathrm{BH}_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ edge. The bridging hydrogen atom bridges relatively symmetrically: the two $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distances are 1.26 (3) and $1.09(3) \AA$. One of the three hydrogen atoms on the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ group, $\mathrm{H}(43)$, may be regarded as semibridging rather than terminal: the $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ distance is normal at $1.13(3) \AA$, but the $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(43)$ distance of $1.61(3) \AA$ is quite long. The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ distances within the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ unit are nearly identical within experimental error at $1.790(5), 1.792(5)$, and $1.786(6) \AA$; this is somewhat unusual because in other $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligands the two H -bridged $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ edges are typically $0.04-0.07 \AA$ longer than the nonbridged $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ edge. ${ }^{11,15}$ The $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ plane of the gem-bound $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group is almost exactly parallel to the plane that bisects the bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group.

The arrangement of hydrogen atoms within the gem-bound $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group most closely resembles the structures of several neutral compounds of stoichiometry $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{~L}$, where $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{CO}$, $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$, or $\mathrm{NH}_{3} .{ }^{16-18}$ There are, however, some significant differences. Unlike the pattern seen in 2, in these Lewis base adducts the bridged $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ edge is considerably shorter than the other two nonbridged $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ edges, and all three of the terminal hydrogen atoms in the $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ unit are clearly terminal and not involved even in weak bridging interactions. The pattern of $B-B$ distances and the locations of the hydrogen atoms suggest that the structure of the gem-bound $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand in $\mathbf{2}$ is intermediate between that seen in the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{~L}$ compounds above and that usually seen for the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}$anion, in either its free or coordinated state. Presumably, the geminal coordination mode results in an electronic structure somewhat like that in the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \cdot \mathrm{~L}$ compounds, and thereby causes changes in the preferred geometry of the $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ triangle and the locations of the hydrogen atoms relative to those seen in all other $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{-}$anions.

Synthesis and Characterization of $\mathbf{C p}_{2} \mathbf{C o}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{14}\right)$. Treatment of the cobalt(II) complex $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CoCl}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ in diethyl ether, followed by pentane extraction and crystallization at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affords light brown needles of $\mathrm{Cp} *_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)(3)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CoCl}]_{2}+2 \mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \rightarrow} \\
& \mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)+2 \mathrm{NaCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The spectroscopic characterization of $\mathbf{3}$ is best discussed after presenting the results of the crystallographic investigation; crystal data and important bond distances and angles for $\mathbf{3}$ are given in Tables 1 and 4 . Molecules of $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure 3) reside on crystallographic 2 -fold axes, and the two cobalt atoms are symmetry-related. The structure of $\mathbf{3}$ can be described in terms of an eight-vertex framework in which two $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Co}$ fragments cap the same side of a somewhat helical $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ chain. The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ distances within the chain are as follows: $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)=1.889$ (6), $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)=1.809(6)$, and $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}=1.734(8) \AA$; the latter $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond is the shortest by nearly $0.15 \AA$ and, as we will show below, the only one not bridged by a hydrogen atom. The $B-B-B$ angles in the $B_{6} H_{14}$ chain are $111.2(3)^{\circ}$ for $B(1)-$

[^4]Table 4. Important Bond Lengths $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)(3)^{a}$

| Bond Lengths ( A ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 2.088(4) | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 1.07(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 2.008(5) | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 1.26 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 2.157(5) | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 1.29 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | 2.183(4) | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 1.15(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)^{\prime}-(\mathrm{B} 1)$ | 2.183(4) | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 1.20(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{C})$ | 1.48(3) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 1.34(4) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 1.809(6) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 1.19(3) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 1.889(6) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~B})$ | 1.08(3) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | 1.734(8) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{C})$ | 1.28(3) |
| Bond Angles (deg) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | 52.37(17) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 90.2(15) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 53.79(18) | $\mathrm{H}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 109(2) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 91.86(18) | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | 111.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | 92.93(17) | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)$ | 66.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | 47.8(2) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)$ | 67.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$ | 100.30(19) | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 43.9(14) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{C})$ | 84.0(12) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 114.3(14) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{C})$ | 100.0(12) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 106.4(14) |
| $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{C})$ | 35.5(12) | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 120.7(14) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{C})$ | 80.6(11) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 124.7(13) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | 118.2(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 117.3(16) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)$ | 68.9(3) | $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 115.8(19) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)$ | 61.5(2) | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 118.2(15) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)^{\prime}$ | 63.2(2) | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 45.0(17) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)^{\prime}$ | 129.8(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 109.7(17) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)^{\prime}$ | 128.7(2) | $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 89.1(19) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 122.8(15) | $\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 115(2) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 110.7(14) | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)$ | 59.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 115.2(15) | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 39.0(15) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1)$ | 105.5(14) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(23)$ | 96.2(15) |
| $\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 125.8(14) | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 123.0(16) |
| $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 45.7(14) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 \mathrm{~A})$ | 129.2(16) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | 103.6(15) |  |  |

$+1, x-1,-z$.
$\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ and $118.2(3)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$; these values are similar to those found in structurally similar "borallyl" complexes (see below). Each cobalt atom forms contacts with four of the six boron atoms in the chain, sharing the central $B(1)-B(1)^{\prime}$ bond. The four $C o-B$ distances in 3 are 2.157(5), 2.008(5), and 2.088(4) A for boron atoms B(3), B(2), and B(1), respectively, where $B(3)$ denotes the end of the chain. As we will show below, $B(3)$ is involved in a $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridging interaction. In contrast to the distribution of $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{B}$ distances in 3 , which vary by $0.15 \AA$, the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{B}$ distances in Cp * Co$\left(\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)$, which contains a structurally similar five-vertex core, are all about the same at $2.019 \AA .{ }^{19}$

All 14 of the boron-bound hydrogen atoms were apparent in the difference maps. The distribution of the 10 terminal hydrogen atoms along the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ chain is $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{BH}-\mathrm{BH}-\mathrm{BH}-\mathrm{BH}-$ $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, and the other four hydrogen atoms are bridging, one for each $B-B$ bond except the central one. The average $B-H$ bond distance for the terminal hydrogen atoms is $1.12(7) \AA$, and the average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ bond distance for the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridges is $1.27-$ (7) $\AA$. Of the three hydrogen atoms on each terminal boron atom, one bridges to cobalt, forming a $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{H}$ distance of 1.48 (3) $\AA$; the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distance in each of these $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ units is $1.28(3) \AA$.

Several other cobaltaborane molecules containing CpCo or $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Co}$ fragments have been reported. ${ }^{19-26}$ For example, Fehlner
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Figure 3. (a) Molecular structure of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$, 3. Ellipsoids are drawn at the $35 \%$ probability level, except for hydrogen atoms, which are represented as arbitrarily sized spheres. All the hydrogen atoms have been deleted for clarity. (b) View of $\mathbf{3}$ showing hydrogen atoms in the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ unit. The $\mathrm{C} p^{*}$ groups have been deleted for clarity.
has prepared $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right), \mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Cp} *(\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{H})$ $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$ by treating $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}\right.$ with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF}$ and has isolated additional compounds $-\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right), \mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{3}-$ $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right), \mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{4} \mathrm{Co}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$-by treating $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CoCl}]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4} \cdot{ }^{19}$ Of these molecules, only $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Co}-$ $\left(\mathrm{B}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)$ has an inner five-vertex $\mathrm{CoB}_{4}$ core similar to that in 3 .

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ have been assigned with the assistance of selective and broad band ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ decoupled spectra and a two-dimensional $\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right]$ HMQC spectrum. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum exhibits three multiplets, all of which collapse to singlets of equal intensity in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum. This spectrum is consistent with the presence of a $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ ligand residing on a symmetry element that renders its two ends symmetry-equivalent. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows a singlet at $\delta 1.64$ for the $\mathrm{Cp} *$ ligand; the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ groups appear as several overlapping resonances between $\delta 4.0$ and 0 and as three singlets at $\delta-3.32,-4.33$, and -17.83 (Figure 4). The resonance at $\delta-17.83$ is clearly due to the hydrogen atoms that bridge to cobalt, and the two resonances at $\delta-3.32$ and -4.33 are due to BHB hydrogen atoms. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ broad band decoupled spectrum, the complex pattern seen between $\delta$ 4.0 and 0 resolves into four singlets at $3.16,2.66,1.10$, and 0.16 , making seven different proton environments in all-each of intensity 2 . Again, this finding is consistent with the 2 -fold symmetry of the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ group. In the broad band decoupled ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ -
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Figure 4. (a) Broad band decoupled ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}{ }_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), 3$, in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum selectively decoupled at B 3 , (c) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum selectively decoupled at B 2 , (d) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum selectively decoupled at B 1 , (e) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.


Figure 5. Two-dimensional [ $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right]$ HMQC NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Co}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\left(\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), \mathbf{3}$, in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Boron atoms B1, B2, and B3 resonate at $\delta$ $8.88, \delta 3.78$, and $\delta-16.42$, respectively.
$\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ spectrum, the resonance at $\delta-17.83$ is a doublet $\left(J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ 27 Hz ) owing to $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ coupling to the resonance at $\delta 0.16$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ selective decoupling experiments and the twodimensional $\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right]$ HMQC spectrum (Figure 5) showed that the resonances at $\delta-17.83,0.16$, and 1.10 are assignable to the three terminal hydrogen atoms on $\mathrm{B}(3)$, the resonances at $\delta$ $-4.33,-3.32$, and 3.16 are assignable to protons attached to $\mathrm{B}(2)$, and the resonances at $\delta-3.32$ and 2.66 are due to protons attached to $\mathrm{B}(1)$, the boron atom nearest to the middle of the


Figure 6. Molecular structure of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)$, 2. Ellipsoids are drawn at the $35 \%$ probability level, except for hydrogen atoms, which are represented as arbitrarily sized spheres. Methyl hydrogen atoms in the Cp* group have been deleted for clarity.

Table 5. Important Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)(4)$

| Bond Lengths $(\AA \AA)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | $2.8085(6)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $2.312(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $1.79(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $1.732(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{H}(51)$ | $1.82(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $1.715(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $1.88(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $1.712(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{H}(52)$ | $1.85(3)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $1.735(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $2.320(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $1.268(18)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $2.213(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12)$ | $1.272(18)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $2.181(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $1.06(3)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $2.208(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | $1.07(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $2.322(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $1.07(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $2.323(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4)$ | $1.07(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $2.211(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(51)$ | $1.274(18)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)$ | $2.175(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(52)$ | $1.272(18)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(4)$ | $2.216(4)$ | $\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{H}(53)$ | $1.06(3)$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Bond} \mathrm{Angles}(\mathrm{deg})$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{B}(1)$ | $123.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | $74.45(12)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ | $122.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ | $78.83(14)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ | $121.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $78.83(14)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{H}(51)$ | $68.5(15)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(3)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $80.30(14)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}(12)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{H}(52)$ | $68.6(13)$ | $\mathrm{Mo}(2)-\mathrm{B}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}(1)$ | $74.62(13)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

six-atom chain. From these data, and by taking the resonance at $\delta-17.84$ to be due to the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridging hydrogen atom, the other proton resonances can easily be assigned (Figure 4). The variable temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum between -80 and $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ shows no evidence of fluxionality.

Synthesis and Characterization of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathbf{M o}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathbf{H}_{9}\right)$. Treatment of the molybdenum(III) complex $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ with $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ in THF, followed by pentane extraction and crystallization at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, affords red-orange crystals of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}-$ $\left(\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)(4)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoCl}_{2}\right]_{2}+4 \mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)+\ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Compound $\mathbf{4}$ has previously been obtained from the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoCl}_{4}$ with $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{THF} .{ }^{27,28}$ The molecular structure of $\mathbf{4}$ (which had not previously been determined) is shown in Figure 6. Crystal data and important bond distances and angles for $\mathbf{4}$ are given in Tables 1 and 5. The structure of 4 closely resembles those of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right),{ }^{27}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}-$ $\left(\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right),{ }^{29}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right):{ }^{30}$ the five boron atoms form a

[^7]planar C-shaped unit, capped on each side by a Cp*Mo unit. The structure of $\mathbf{4}$ can also be viewed as a bicapped trigonal bipyramid in which $\mathrm{B}(3), \mathrm{Mo}(1)$, and $\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ occupy the equatorial positions and two $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ fragments cap the $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-$ $\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Mo}(1)-\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{Mo}(2)$ faces of the $\mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}$ skeleton.

All of the boron-bound hydrogen atoms are terminal with respect to boron, and their distribution within the $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ chain is $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{BH}-\mathrm{BH}-\mathrm{BH}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. Of the three H atoms on each $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ unit, one bridges to one Mo atom, one bridges to the other Mo atom, and the third H atom is strictly terminal on boron. All the bond lengths and bond angles in $\mathbf{4}$ are very similar to those in the $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)$ analogue. ${ }^{29}$ The Mo-Mo distance is $2.8085(6) \AA$, and the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{H}$ distances for the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridges average 1.83(3) $\AA$. The average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distance within the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ bridges is $1.27(2) \AA$, and the average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distance for the terminal hydrogen atoms is $1.07(2) \AA$. The $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(2)$ and $\mathrm{B}(4)-\mathrm{B}(5)$ distances (at the ends of the B5 chain) of $1.73(1) \AA$ are equal within experimental error and are longer than the two other chemically equivalent $B(2)-B(3)$ and $B(3)-B(4)$ edges by about $0.02 \AA$.

The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum matches that previously reported by Fehlner ${ }^{28}$ and closely resembles that of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ analogue described by Green. ${ }^{29}$ For reasons we do not understand (i.e., despite the use of the same solvent), the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts for the BH groups previously reported ${ }^{28}$ for 4 differ from those we find by about 0.4 ppm . Our assignments of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, as deduced from a series of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{\right.$ selective- $\left.{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectra, correspond to those made by Green: the doublet at $\delta-6.84$ is due to the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$ hydrogen atoms on $\mathrm{B}(1)$ and $\mathrm{B}(5)$; the triplet at $\delta 5.61$ is due to the protons attached to $\mathrm{B}(2)$ and $\mathrm{B}(4)$; the triplet at $\delta 5.01$ is due to the BH terminal hydrogen atoms on $\mathrm{B}(1)$ and $\mathrm{B}(5)$; and the triplet at $\delta 3.43$ is due to the proton on $B(3)$.

## Discussion

Comparison of the Structures of $\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{B}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ Complexes. The compounds $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ (1) and $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ (2) have the same ligand sets: one $\mathrm{Cp}{ }^{*}$ ligand and two $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups. Their molecular structures, however, differ significantly; the vanadium compound contains two bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligands (i.e., bound via two vicinal hydrogen atoms), whereas the chromium compound has one bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand and one $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand bound in a very different way. Specifically, the second $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand in $\mathbf{2}$ coordinates to the chromium center via two geminal hydrogen atoms. This is the first example in any transition metal complex of such a gem-bound $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ coordination mode. The nearest analogue was described in a computational study of the dynamics of $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)\right]^{-},{ }^{31}$ in which a similar gem-bound $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ structure was proposed as an intermediate in the fluxional exchange pathway for the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand.

We now turn to the question of why $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{1})$ and $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ (2) adopt different structures. We propose that the different $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ coordination modes in $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 can be explained in terms of number of empty valence orbitals on the metal centers: seven for $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ vanadium(III) and six for $\mathrm{d}^{3}$

[^8]chromium(III). On each metal center, three of these empty orbitals are involved in metal- $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ bonding, leaving four and three orbitals for metal $-\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ bonding, respectively. The usual bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ binding mode involving two mutually vicinal hydrogen atoms requires two empty metal orbitals, whereas the new $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ binding mode involving two geminal hydrogen atoms must only require one empty metal orbital. Thus, $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ with four empty orbitals has two bidentate $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligands, whereas $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ with three empty orbitals has one bidentate and one gem-bound $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ group, the latter behaving in an electronic sense like a "pseudo-unidentate" ligand.

Steric factors also favor the observed difference in the geometries of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2. Comparisons of the metal-ligand distances in $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ with those in $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$ suggest (as expected) that the radius of $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{III}}$ is roughly $0.15 \AA$ larger than that of $\mathrm{Cr}^{\text {III }}$. Thus, the vanadium compound $\mathbf{1}$ is expected to favor higher hapticities for the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups, as is observed.

Bonding in the Unusual Cobaltaborane Cluster $\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathbf{C o}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\left(\mathbf{B}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{14}\right)$. The structure of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)(\mathbf{3})$ can be viewed in two different ways: as a dicobalt complex in which two $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Co}$ units are bound to the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ligand, or as an eightvertex hypho cluster compound. We will discuss these alternatives in turn.

There are two ways in which the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ group in 3 can be regarded: (a) as a neutral unit isoelectronic with 1,3,5-hexatriene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$, or (b) as a dianion $\left(\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}{ }^{2-}\right)$ isoelectronic with a diallylic hexadienediyl dianion $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}{ }^{2-}\right)$ :

(a)

(b)

These alternatives can be distinguished by comparison with known complexes containing hexatriene (or hexadienediyl) ligands. In only a few cases is the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand best regarded as a neutral triene, one example being the cluster $\mathrm{Ru}_{6}(\mathrm{CO})_{14}(\mu$ C) (trans-1,3,5-hexatriene). ${ }^{32}$ In this molecule, two ruthenium centers are bound to the hextatriene group in a $\eta^{4}, \eta^{2}$ fashionthat is, unsymmetrically. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances alternate down the chain between short and long bonds, as expected for a neutral $1,3,5$-hexatriene. Neither the unsymmetric binding mode nor the pattern of bond distances within the chain resemble the structural features seen in 3.

Diallylic hexadienediyl species are somewhat more common. In the lithium salt $[\mathrm{Li}(\text { tmed })]_{2}\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right],{ }^{33}$ where tmed $=N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ tetramethylethylenediamine, each lithium atom interacts with four of the carbon atoms, with the longest $\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{C}$ contacts being to the two central carbon atoms. Except for the central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, all the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances are nearly identical. All of these structural features closely resemble those seen in 3. One difference is that the central $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in this organic dianion is longer than the other bonds, whereas the central $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond in $\mathbf{3}$ is shorter than the others. Most likely, this difference reflects the fact that only the central $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ bond in $\mathbf{3}$ is not hydrogenbridged. One other minor difference is that the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ dianion usually adopts an s-trans, s-trans conformation rather than the s -cis, s-cis conformation seen in 3.

[^9]

Figure 7. A schematic drawing showing the construction of the eightvertex hypho cluster of $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right), \mathbf{3}$ (right), from the eleven-vertex closo octadecahedron (left).

The $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ligand in $\mathbf{3}$ may also be compared with complexes of the heptahydrotriborate dianion, $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{2-}$, which has been termed a "borallyl" ligand because it is isoelectronic with and structurally analogous to the allyl ligand, $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$. Borallyl complexes are known for several transition metals, including $\mathrm{Co},{ }^{34} \mathrm{Ir},{ }^{35-37} \mathrm{Pd},{ }^{38,39}$ and $\mathrm{Pt} .{ }^{40-42}$ The bond distances and angles that describe the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ interactions in $\mathbf{3}$ are very similar to those seen in complexes of the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ ligand, and $\mathbf{3}$ can be regarded as a cobalt complex of the previously unknown biborallyl dianion.

The above bonding analysis treated the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ unit as a ligand to two separate cobalt centers; it is also useful to analyze it from a cluster point of view and to regard the $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ unit as a component of a cluster framework. The total cluster valence electron count for $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}{ }_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ is 60 ; subtracting 20 electrons for the d-orbital "sinks" on the two cobalt centers leaves a bonding electron count of 40 for this eight-vertex polyhedron. According to cluster electron counting rules, the cluster is therefore a member of the eight-vertex hypho class. An eightvertex hypho cluster should be obtainable by removing three adjacent high-connectivity vertices from the eleven-vertex closo polyhedron, which is an octadecahedron. In fact, the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ is exactly that (Figure 7). The locations of the cobalt atoms within the hypho framework are consistent with the predictions of the Wade-Mingos theory: ${ }^{43}$ because the cobalt atoms are larger than boron, they occupy the vertices in the hypho framework that have the highest connectivities.

Several other eight-vertex boron-containing hypho clusters are known; among these are $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right],{ }^{44} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{PHPh}_{2}\right)$ $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or Me$),{ }^{45} \mathrm{NCB}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{Me},{ }^{46}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}\right],{ }^{47} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Me}_{2},{ }^{47}$ $\mathrm{NSB}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11},{ }^{48}$ and $\left[\mathrm{CSB}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}\right] .{ }^{49}$ In all of these compounds, the two heteroatoms (carbon, nitrogen, or sulfur) bridge nonadjacent edges of a pentagonal pyramidal $\mathrm{B}_{6}$ subunit, and the eight-vertex

[^10]hypho frameworks are constructed by removing three mutually adjacent high-connectivity vertices of the parent eleven-vertex closo polyhedron, the octadecahedron. In contrast, the framework seen for $\mathbf{3}$ is generated by removing three vertices that are not all mutually adjacent. Several clusters of formula $\mathrm{B}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11^{-}}$ (NHR)(L) are also known that can be regarded either as eightvertex hypho clusters or as nine-vertex arachno clusters. ${ }^{50-52}$ To our knowledge, compound $\mathbf{3}$ is the first eight-vertex hypho metallaborane cluster.

## Experimental Section

All experiments were carried out under vacuum or under argon by using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were distilled under nitrogen from sodium/benzophenone immediately before use. The starting materials $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8},{ }^{53}\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{VCl}_{2}\right]_{3},{ }^{54}\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2},{ }^{55}\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CoCl}_{2},{ }^{56,57}\right.$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoCl}_{2}\right]_{2}{ }^{58}$ were prepared by literature procedures. Microanalyses were performed by the University of Illinois Microanalytical Laboratory. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Impact 410 instrument as Nujol mulls. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR data were collected on a wide bore instrument at 300.102 and 96.285 MHz , respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in $\delta$ units (positive shifts to high frequency) relative to tetramethylsilane ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ) or $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left({ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right.$ NMR). Magnetic moments were determined in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ by the Evans NMR method on a Varian Gemini 500 instrument at 499.699 MHz .

Caution. $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ and its compounds are often pyrophoric. They should be handled with strict exclusion of air and moisture in a wellventilated fume hood.

Bis(octahydrotriborato)(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)vanadium(III), $\mathbf{C p} * V\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{8}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{1}$. To a suspension of $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{VCl}_{2}\right]_{3}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 7 h . The solution color changed from purple to green, and a white precipitate formed. The green solution was filtered, concentrated to ca. 15 mL , and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford light green crystals. Yield: $0.40 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%)$. Single crystals for the X-ray diffraction experiment were obtained by recrystallization from pentane solution. The product can also be isolated by sublimation at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.05 Torr with slight decomposition. FD-MS $m / z 267.2\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{~V}$ : C, 45.0; H, 11.7; B, 19.1; V, 24.3. Found: C, 43.4; H, 11.0; B, 19.4; V, 24.4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta-13.8(\mathrm{~s}$, fwhm $\left.=2250 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right)$. Magnetic moment $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 2.7 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2517 \mathrm{~s}, 2467 \mathrm{~s}, 2364 \mathrm{sh}, 2283 \mathrm{w}, 2131 \mathrm{~s}, 2082 \mathrm{~s}, 2044 \mathrm{~s}$, $1424 \mathrm{~m}, 1307 \mathrm{~s}, 1183 \mathrm{w}, 1145 \mathrm{w}, 1070 \mathrm{w}, 1044 \mathrm{~s}, 1021 \mathrm{~s}, 977 \mathrm{~s}, 873$ m, $844 \mathrm{~s}, 803 \mathrm{w}, 780 \mathrm{w}, 653 \mathrm{~m}, 619 \mathrm{w}$.

Bis(octahydrotriborato)(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)chromium(III), $\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{C r}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{8}\right)_{2}$, 2. To a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CrCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(0.084 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$

[^11]$(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and was allowed to warm to room temperature. After the mixture had been stirred for 8 h , the bluegreen solution was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuum. The residue was extracted with pentane $(35 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the pentane extract was filtered and concentrated to 10 mL . Crystallization at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded dark green crystals. Yield: $0.038 \mathrm{~g}(43 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~B}_{6} \mathrm{Cr}$ : C, 44.8; H, 11.7. Found: C, 44.8; H, 10.7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$, $\left.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta-74.4(\mathrm{~s}$, fwhm $=900 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Cp} *)$. Magnetic moment $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right.$, $\left.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): 4.1 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2514 \mathrm{sh}, 2482 \mathrm{~s}, 2456 \mathrm{~s}, 2418 \mathrm{~s}, 2303 \mathrm{w}$, 2281 w, 2094 s, 2002 sh, 1308 s, 1262 sh 1147 s, 1102 m, 1072 m, $1018 \mathrm{~s}, 976 \mathrm{~s}, 872 \mathrm{~m}, 844 \mathrm{~m}, 802 \mathrm{w}, 748 \mathrm{w}, 655 \mathrm{~m}, 583 \mathrm{w}, 514 \mathrm{w}$, 448 s .
(Tetradecahydrohexaborato)bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)dicobalt, $\mathbf{C p}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C o}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 4}}\right)$, 3. To a solution of $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{CoCl}]_{2}(0.96 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. Gas slowly evolved. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h to give a dark red solution and a pale green precipitate. The dark red solution was filtered, and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The brown residue was extracted with pentane $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the extract was filtered and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford brown-red needles. Yield: 0.39 g ( $40 \%$ ). Single crystals for X-ray diffraction were grown at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~B}_{6}-$ $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ : C, $51.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.49 ; \mathrm{B}, 13.9 ; \mathrm{Co}, 25.2$. Found: C, $50.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.20 ; \mathrm{B}$, 14.4, Co, 25.7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH})$, $2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}), 1.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 1.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right), 0.16(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right),-3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BHB}),-4.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BHB}),-17.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right.$ $=27 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CoHB}) .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~B})$, 3.78 (s, 2B), $-16.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{~B})$. IR ( $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2521 \mathrm{~s}, 2480 \mathrm{~s}, 2413 \mathrm{~s}, 2362$ m, $1894 \mathrm{~m}, 1826 \mathrm{~s}, 1155 \mathrm{vs}, 1060 \mathrm{~m}, 1040 \mathrm{w}, 1022 \mathrm{vs}, 950 \mathrm{vs}, 909 \mathrm{~m}$, $875 \mathrm{~m}, 797 \mathrm{~s}, 652 \mathrm{w}, 600 \mathrm{w}$.
(Nonahydropentaborato)bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)dimolybdenum, $\mathbf{C p} *_{2} \mathbf{M o}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{9}}\right)$, 4. To a solution of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MoCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ $(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\mathrm{NaB}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ). Gas slowly evolved. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 days to give red solution and white precipitate. The red solution was filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuum. The red residue was extracted with pentane $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the extract was filtered and concentrated to 10 mL . Crystallization at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded red-orange crystals. Yield: $0.06 \mathrm{~g}(18 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 5.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=\right.$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}), 5.01\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}\right), 3.43\left(\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=5.4\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{BH}), 1.93\left(\mathrm{~s}, 30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right),-6.84\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, MoHB). ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 65.6$ (s, 3B), 28.4 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{~B}$ ).

X-ray Structure Determinations. ${ }^{59}$ Single crystals of all three compounds, grown from pentane, were mounted on glass fibers with Paratone-N oil (Exxon) and immediately cooled to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a cold nitrogen gas stream on the diffractometer. Standard peak search and indexing procedures gave rough cell dimensions. Data for $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ were collected with an area detector by using the measurement parameters listed in Table 1. The measured intensities were reduced to structure factor amplitudes and their estimated standard deviations by correction for background and Lorentz and polarization effects. Systematically absent reflections were deleted and symmetry-equivalent reflections were averaged to yield the sets of unique data. The analytical approximations to the scattering factors were used, and all structure factors were corrected for both real and imaginary components of anomalous dispersion. All structures were solved using direct methods (SHELXTL). The correct positions for all non-hydrogen atoms of $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ were deduced from E-maps. Methyl hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98 \AA$, and their displacement
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Figure 8. Drawing showing the disorder present in $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)_{2}, \mathbf{1}$; all atoms have $50 \%$ site occupancy factors except for B 1 , which is $100 \%$ owing to the coincident coordinates of the disordered components. The disordered molecules are represented by black and white bonds, respectively. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $35 \%$ probability level; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
parameters were set to equal to 1.5 times $U_{\text {eq }}$ for the attached carbon. Final refinement parameters for $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ are given in Table 1. Subsequent discussions for $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ will be divided into individual paragraphs.
(a) $\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{V}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{8}\right)_{2}, \mathbf{1}$. Systematic absences for $h k l(h+k \neq 2 n)$ were consistent with space groups $C 2, C m$, and $C 2 / m$; the last of these was proven to be the correct choice by the success of the subsequent refinement. No corrections for absorption or crystal decay were applied. All atoms except $B(1)$ were disordered over two sites; the symmetry elements require that the site occupancy factors for the disordered positions be exactly $50 \%$ (see below). Hydrogen atoms attached to boron were located in the difference maps, and their positions were refined with independent isotropic displacement parameters. The $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distances to $H(21)$ and $H(22)$ were constrained to be equal within a standard deviation of $0.05 \AA$, as were the chemically related $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distances to $\mathrm{H}(13)$ and $\mathrm{H}(14)$. The quantity minimized by the leastsquares program was $\sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}$, where $w=\left\{\left[\sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+\right.$ $\left.(0.0260 P)^{2}+0.53 P\right\}^{-1}$ and $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$. The crystal used was evidently slightly twinned, with the twin law being reflection through the $b^{*} c^{*}$ plane (i.e., a plane perpendicular to the $a$-axis); the volume fraction of the major twin individual refined to $0.960(4)$. Successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the last cycle. Final refinement parameters are given in Table 1. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map $\left(0.21 \mathrm{e}^{-3}\right)$ was located $1.35 \AA$ from $\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{C})$. A final analysis of variance between observed and calculated structure factors showed no apparent errors.

The disorder in this crystal is unusual (Figure 8). The crystal structure consists of stacks of molecules running in linear chains parallel to the $c$-axis. Within each stack, each molecule is oriented identically with its $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{p}^{*}-\mathrm{V}$ axis collinear with the stacking axis ( $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{p}^{*}$ here standing for the centroid of the ring). There are two choices for the stacking order: the $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{p}^{*}-\mathrm{V}$ vector can be oriented with its $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{p}^{*}$ end either toward the positive $c$-axis or toward the negative $c$-axis. From stack to stack, there is evidently no preference whether adjacent stacks will have their $\mathrm{Cp} *-\mathrm{V}$ vectors oriented in the same direction or in opposite directions (no evidence of a supercell could be found in the diffraction record). This disorder causes the diffraction pattern to correspond to a structure in which the two types of chains are superimposed in the unit cell, each chain being constituted of atoms with site occupancies of 0.5 . Thus, within each repeat unit of each chain, there are two half-Cp* rings (superimposed but staggered with respect to each other), two halfvanadium atoms (one on each side of the Cp * ring), and four halfoccupancy $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups (of which the vanadium-bound boron atoms are almost exactly superimposed and treatable as full-occupancy atoms). A somewhat similar disorder is present in the crystal structure of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{ReO}_{3}{ }^{60}$

There are two choices to determine the boron atoms that form the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups. We chose to match the $\mathrm{B}(1)$ atoms with the half-

[^13]occupancy boron atom $B(2)$, rather than its symmetry-related counterpart. This choice yields the most chemically reasonable dihedral angle of $119.7(5)^{\circ}$ between the plane passing through three boron atoms in the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ ligand, $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}(2)$, and the plane passing through the metal center and two vanadium-bound boron atoms, $\mathrm{V}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{B}(1)^{\prime}$. Despite the disorder, the hydrogen atoms in the $\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ groups were apparent in the difference maps and, after applying light constraints to the hydrogen atoms attached to $\mathrm{B}(2)$, correspond to chemically very reasonable positions.
(b) $\mathbf{C p}{ }^{*} \mathbf{C r}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{8}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}$, 2. Systematic absences for $0 k l(k \neq 2 n), h 0 l(l$ $\neq 2 n)$, and $h k 0(h+k \neq 2 n)$ were only consistent with the space group Pbcn. All 3199 data were used in the least-squares refinement. Although corrections for crystal decay were unnecessary, a face-indexed absorption correction was applied. The quantity minimized by the least-squares program was $\sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}$, where $w=\left\{\left[\sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+(0.0495 P)^{2}\right\}^{-1}$ and $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$. Hydrogen atoms attached to boron were easily located in the difference maps, and their positions were refined with independent isotropic displacement parameters. Successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the last cycle. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map $\left(0.24 \mathrm{e}^{-3}\right)$ was located $0.73 \AA$ from C(5). A final analysis of variance between observed and calculated structure factors showed no apparent errors.
(c) $\mathbf{C p} *_{2} \mathbf{C o}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{14}\right)$, 3. Systematic absences for $00 l(l \neq 4 n)$ and $h 00(h \neq 2 n)$ were consistent with space groups $P 4_{1} 2_{1} 2$ and $P 4_{3} 2_{1} 2$; the latter was chosen, but the data crystal proved to be an inversion twin in which the major twin individual had a volume fraction of 0.56 (3). A total of 2272 unique data were used in the least-squares refinement. Although corrections for crystal decay were unnecessary, a face-indexed absorption correction was applied. No correction for isotropic extinction was necessary. Hydrogen atoms attached to boron were easily located in the difference maps, and their positions were refined with independent isotropic displacement parameters. The quantity minimized by the least-squares program was $\sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)^{2}$, where $\mathrm{w}=\left\{\left[\sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+(0.0280 P)^{2}\right\}^{-1}$ and $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$. Successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the last cycle. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map $\left(0.24 \mathrm{e}^{-3}\right)$ was located $0.83 \AA$ from C(3). A final analysis of variance between observed and calculated structure factors showed no apparent errors.
(d) $\mathbf{C p}{ }_{2} \mathbf{M o}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{9}}\right), 4$. The cell parameters were only consistent with the triclinic space groups $P 1$ and $P \overline{1}$; the centrosymmetric choice of $P \overline{1}$ was confirmed by the success of the refinement model. All 5746 unique data were used in the least-squares refinement. Although corrections for crystal decay were unnecessary, a face-indexed absorption correction was applied. The $B-H$ distances to $H(2), H(3)$, and $H(4)$ were constrained to equal within $0.01 \AA$; similar constraints were applied for the chemically related $B-H$ distances to $H(13)$ and $H(53)$ and to the chemically related $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ distances to $\mathrm{H}(11), \mathrm{H}(12), \mathrm{H}(51)$, and $\mathrm{H}(52)$. The quantity minimized by the least-squares program was $\sum w\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}$, where $w=\left\{\left[\sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)\right]^{2}+(0.0357 P)^{2}\right\}^{-1}$ and $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right.$ $\left.+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$. No correction for isotropic extinction was necessary. Successful convergence was indicated by the maximum shift/error of 0.000 for the last cycle. The largest peak in the final Fourier difference map ( $1.00 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ ) was located $0.88 \AA$ from $\mathrm{Mo}(2)$. A final analysis of variance between observed and calculated structure factors showed no apparent errors.
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